FORUM: Human Rights Council

ISSUE: Developing a protocol for autonomous weapons

SUBMITTED BY: The State of Israel

CO-SUBMITTERS: Pakistan, Japan, Greece, Saudi Arabia, China, USA, India

THE HUMAN RIGHTS COUNCIL,

Emphasizing the increasing threat of terrorism caused by individuals,

Bearing in mind there are three kinds of autonomous weaponry and a separation between these has to be made clear,

Stresses the fact that autonomous weaponry decreases possible casualties,

Taking into consideration autonomous weaponry has the biggest ambition to fully eradicate terrorism,

Encourages all relevant agencies of the United Nations to collaborate more closely with affected nations to develop a protocol in order to control the development of autonomous weaponry,

- 1. <u>Recommends</u> that the world adopts a universal definition of autonomous weapons as: artificially intelligent weapons that function based on their own mechanism without human control;
- 2. <u>Urges</u> the creation of an independent specialised organisation which purpose is to monitor the authorisation of autonomous weapons;
 - a. Recommends the independent specialised organisation to be created from experts acknowledged by the UN,
 - b. Proposes the inclusion of experts on human rights in the independent specialised organisation;
- Encourages all nations to develop stricter testing of autonomous weapons, following the guidelines, to be created by the aforementioned specialised organisations to eradicated civilian casualties, any chance of malfunctioning and any chance of hacking by malicious parties;
- 4. <u>Suggests</u> that the Security Council will impose sanctions on those violating the rules stated in this resolution by means of, but not limited to;
 - a. Implementing an embargo on those in question,
 - b. Giving a fine to those in question,
 - c. Urging all members states to condemn those who violate the rules;

- 5. <u>Calls upon</u> nations to take responsibility for LAWs gone rogue through;
 - a. Financial aid towards the victims' nation and/or organisation,
 - b. A national figurehead going to international criminal court to take responsibility for all done by the autonomous weapons in question;
- 6. <u>Stresses that</u> all member states to include a method through which the operating organisation can, in case of a Lethal Autonomous Weapons (LAWs) going berserk, immediately shut down the LAW in question, under the conditions of;
 - a. Ensuring the method cannot be hacked,
 - b. Ensuring only the organisation who authorised the operation has access to this method;
- 7. <u>Emphasizes that</u>, member states are to strictly supervise private companies developing lethal autonomous weapons by undertaking the following measures;
 - a. No lethal autonomous weapon fall in the control of individuals(civilians),
 - b. The technology is strictly tested for safety purposes,
 - c. The LAWs only fulfil the purpose they were developed for.